## **EX PARTE OR LATE FILED**

Docket-222

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

1 9 JUL 1993

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

IN REPLY REFER TO:

7330-7/1700A3

RECEIVED

JUL 2 0 1993

Honorable Wayne Allard House of Representatives 422 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Congressman Allard:

This is in reply to your letter of June 30, 1993, regarding the <u>Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice</u>) in PR Docket No. 92-235/57 FR 54034 (1992). This <u>Notice</u> proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz. You expressed concern about the impact on local government, particularly those located in rural areas.

The primary purpose of the <u>Notice</u> was to foster emerging mobile telecommunications technologies that can help resolve spectrum congestion. While congestion is most pronounced in urban environments, the evidence suggests that pockets exist in even the most rural states. We are, however, fully aware of the varying needs of users of private land mobile radio spectrum, including local governments and those in rural areas. To this end, Commission staff has had numerous meetings with representatives of various groups representing mobile radio users. These discussions have been fruitful and should lead to final rules that achieve the important goals of the <u>Notice</u> while minimizing costs to all users.

Thank you for your interest in this proceeding. As you have requested, your concerns will be included in the record of this proceeding and will be considered in developing final rules.

Sincerely,

*(s/* 

Joseph A. Levin Chief, Policy and Planning Branch Private Radio Bureau

No. of Copies rec'd

## WAYNE ALLARD

4TH DISTRICT, COLORADO

422 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0604 (202) 225-4676



92,275

## COMMITTEES:

- BUDGET
- AGRICULTURE
- NATURAL RESOURCES
- JOINT COMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REFORM

## U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FCC Ms. Donna R. Searcy, Sec. Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW, Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Searcy:

I would like to take this opportunity to express my concern regarding the proposed replacement of Part 90 of the present regulations with Part 88.

On March 25, 1993, one of my constituents, Charlotte Heinz, wrote a letter to you on behalf of Elbert County in Colorado. She is the chairman of the Elbert County Board of Commissioners. Ms. Heinz made some important points in that letter that deserve your attention when you are contemplating final changes in regulations.

It appears that Part 88 would put a financial burden on rural Colorado counties such as Elbert, that they can not afford to bear. In the November 1992 elections, Colorado passed Amendment 1 which prohibits state and local governments from raising additional revenue without approval of the voters. A cause such as raising taxes to comply with a federal mandate is not politically popular and could not command voter support. Without additional revenue, these counties would have to cut funding from other worthwhile projects in order to comply with this mandate.

I urge you either to exempt rural counties such as Elbert County from this proposed change in regulations or else to obtain funding to finance this mandate. I would appreciate a reply in reference to this matter.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Wayne Allard